



Sprint Nextel
2001 Edmund Halley Drive
Reston, VA 20191
Office: (703) 433-8525 Fax: (703) 433-4142
Mobile: (703) 926-5933

November 28, 2005

BY ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
The Portals
445 Twelfth Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: ET Docket No. 00-258
Erratum

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Sprint Nextel Corporation filed comments in this docket on November 25, 2005. Due to a computer processing error, page numbers were stripped from that filing's table of contents page during electronic filing. Attached is a corrected table of contents. Please associate this submission with the comments that Sprint Nextel filed in the above-referenced docket.

Sincerely,

Trey Hanbury
Director, Sprint Nextel Corporation

Table of Contents

I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. DISCUSSION	2
A. Roughly 33 Million Americans – Many of Them in Rural or Underserved Areas with No Other Access to Advanced Broadband – Stand to Lose Access to Sprint Nextel’s Broadband Services Under the Commission’s Draft Proposal.	3
B. Relocating Integrated Point-to-Multipoint Systems Terminating at Individual Homes and Businesses Represents a Fundamentally Different Task from Moving Discrete, Point-to-Point Links.	7
1. Unlike Point-to-Point Links, When One “Link” in a Point-to-Multipoint BRS System Experiences Interference, the Entire BRS System is Disabled.	12
2. Unlike Point-to-Point Links, BRS Systems Must Overcome Routine Customer Churn by Continuing to Add New Customers Within Their Existing Markets.	19
3. Unlike Point-to-Point Links, BRS Systems Must Install Equipment in Consumers Homes and Business, Which Raises Privacy Concerns for Consumers and Competitive Concerns for BRS Licensees.	21
C. Adopting a System-by-System – as Opposed to a Link-by-Link – Relocation Process Will Protect Consumers Against Disruptions in Broadband Service, Minimize Administrative Costs, and Accelerate BRS Transition out of the Band.	23
1. Drawing a “Relocation Zone” around the Centralized BRS 1-2 Receive Station Offers a Reliable, Simple Means of Triggering AWS New Entrants’ Relocation Obligation.	23
2. A Similar Relocation Zone Approach Will Protect AWS Receivers Against Harmful Interference in Legacy BRS Video Markets.....	29
D. To Ensure Comparable Facilities, BRS Licensees Should Receive Spectrum and New Equipment Capable of Providing the Same Throughput, Reliability, and Operating Costs across the Same Geographic Area as the Original System.	30
1. Unlike Point-to-Point Microwave Data Systems, BRS Licensees Are in the Business of Providing a <i>Wireless</i> Service to Their Customers – Not Cable, Fiber, or DSL.....	31
2. Before BRS Systems Relocate, BRS Replacement Spectrum Must Be Available.....	33
3. Providing BRS Licensees with Comparable Facilities Will Require New Equipment Capable of Operating in the 2496-2502 and 2618-2624 MHz Replacement Bands.	35
4. Facilities Owners Must Receive Just Compensation for Property that the Government Takes from Them.....	37

5. To Encourage Broadband Deployment and Promote Secondary Markets, the Commission Should Not Terminate AWS Licensees' Relocation Obligations Prematurely or Limit the Ability of BRS Licensees to Conduct Transactions.	39
III. CONCLUSION.....	41